KOTTAPPADY PRIMARY SCHOOL

*1005. [64] **Sri. N. P. Verghese :** Will the Hon'ble Minister for Education be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether the people of Kottappady applied for a Government Primary School in North Kottappady as early as 1122 and whether Government accorded sanction on condition that the building be put up by the people;

(*b*) did the people of North Kottappady put up a building and apply for opening a primary school in 1124.

(*c*) is it a fact that the Department asked them to open a private primary school in the building and that the people insisted on having a Government school;

(*d*) did not the people of North Kottappady approach the Government in 1125 to take the building and open a Department school;

(*e*) did not the Division Inspector of Schools, Muvattupuzha and the Inspector of Parimary and Middle Schools, Muvattupuzha recommed the opening of the school in 1125;

(*f*) what was the delay in sanctioning the school due to; and

(*g*) is it a fact that the papers were withheld in the Government Education Secretariat in spite of repeated reminders from the people and their representatives?

Minister for Education, Labour and Civil Supplies **(Sri. Panampilli Govinda Menon):**

(*a*) Yes. Sanction was accorded to open a school from the beginning of the school year 1946—47 (1121—22) provided the local people would surrender to Government unconditionally a site and building for the school before the end of Medom 1121.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) Yes. An application was received in May 1949 and sanction was accorded for opening a private primary school during the year 1949—50.

(*d*) Yes. It was however late in the year to open the school.

(*e*) Yes.

(*f*) The delay was due to objections raised by the people of the North Kottappady. Several petitions were received for and against the opening of the additional primary school.

(*g*) No.

AIDED SCHOOL TEACHERS

*1006. [65] **Sri. A. K. George :** Will the Hon'ble Minister for Education be pleased to state:—

(*a*) is it true that certain teachers in some aided schools have not been paid their pay owing to the fact that the prescribed minimum strength has gone down in their classes consequent on the double promotion of certain students this year to Form I;

(*b*) if so was there any recommendation made about this by the Inspector, Central Division Cochin Area?

Minister for Education, Labour and Civil Supplies **(Sri. Panampilli Govinda Menon):**

(*a*) & (*b*) Government have no information that teachers have not been paid, because they are paid by the Managers. But it is true that for want of minimum strength in the newly opened V Classes in some schools of Cochin area, the Government aid to those classes had to be temporarily stopped. Orders have since been passed to restore these grants relaxing the rule regarding minimum strength for this year.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly